the fishing season this year. In the event of the Uppala yard being closed, the establishment has only to be shifted to Baikampady.

In the circumstances, I request that you will be good enough to obtain the ~~xxxxxxxx~~ sanction of Government for closing of the Uppala yard and the opening of a yard at Baikampady.

Early orders of Government are requested as the villagers are very anxious to have the construction of the necessary buildings completed and the yard opene before the fishing season commences in earnest this year.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. H. Gravely.

Director of Fisheries.
(In Charge).

18.20.3.

5
25/9.

4

Development Department.

O.M. No. 32697 dated 31.10.28

/28-1

Refce: D.F.'s letter No.1245-F/-27, dated 24-9-1928 - opening - fish curing yard - Baikampady.- closure of yard - Uppala.

The Government approve the Director's proposal that the Uppala fish curing yard should be closed. The Director is requested to take the requisite action to close the yard at once.

2. As regards the proposed yard at Baikampady, it is stated that a suitable land is available and that the owners of the land have agreed to lease it to Government; no ~~dxfixxix~~ details have however been given as to the terms of the lease. The D.F. is requested to report the terms of the lease and to state whether the trustees would be willing to sell the land to Government and if not, whether any other site is available. The staff now employed at Uppala and the establishment that would

G.F. 30

DEPARTMENT.

D 3555-II

16-10-28.

Received ..... 192 . *Registered* 192

Fish Curing Yard - Baikampady - Opening of.

ENCLOSURES.

No. One

.....

Spare copies .....

Ref: No. 1245-F/27-

Station Madras. *Dated the* 15th October 1928.

From (Name) Dr. F. H. Gravely, D. Sc.,

(Designation) Director of Fisheries (In charge).

To The Secretary to the Government of Madras,

Development Department.

Sir,

Ref: Government Memorandum No. 3269-II/28-1, dated 5-10-1928.

Herewith I enclose a translation in English of the statement obtained from the owners of the site selected for the location of a yard at Baikampady. They have promised to hand over the site to Government free of rent for the use of a Fish Curing Yard for the fishermen of their village. The land should be returned to them when the yard is closed. But they are not willing to sell the land. No other site suitable for the location of the yard is available in the village

Nor is it advisable to acquire land before knowing how far a fish curing yard will be a success at Baikampady.

2. The staff now employed at Uppala consists of two peons and this same staff will be enough for the yar to be opened at Baikampady.

3. As the fishermen are enthusiastic over the prospect of having a yard in their village and as there is a probability of their enthusiasm being damped if the yard is not opened during the present season I have the honour to request you to be as good enough to obtain ear orders of Government on this proposal.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

F. H. Gravely

Director of Fisheries.
(In Charge).

L.S.15.10.

8

Statement obtained from the Three Gurikaras of Baikampady in whose name the patta land selected for the location of the proposed yard at Baikampady.Stands?

Baikampady,
Dated 5--8--1928.

To

The Assistant Directorof Fisheries,
Calicut.

The head fishermen (Gurkars or Chiefs) of Baikambady submit as follows:---

We hereby solemly declare and promise to hand over one acre and fifty cents of land in S.No.146/6 and 146/2 to Government free (without receiving any remuneration) for the use of Fish Curing Yard which will be opened here as requested by us for the use of our village fishermen. The above land is in our possession now. When the yard is closed or removed from the place, the said land should be given back to us. The above land is in our possession for the use of the fishing class.

Sd.....Gurika

.....
Thumb-Impression of Mayana
Gurika.

(True Translation).

2(c) 9 Dev 4184 II 5-12-28

DEC

To,

The Hon'ble Minister for Development

Government of Madras. M A D R A S.

Humble memorial from the Fishermen, residents of Baikampady Fishing Hamlet, Mangalore Taluk, South Kanara District.

20th November 1928.

Through The Proper Channel,

Most Respectfully Showeth,

That the memorialists submitted a petition on 11-12-1927 to the Assistant Director of Fisheries Calicut, praying for the opening of Fish curing Yard in the Baikampady Fishing Village for the benefit of the Memorialists and that the memorialists were prepared to meet the initial cost of construction of the yard including the provision of a suitable site for the yard.

That the memorialists prayed for the early opening of the yard to enable them to commence operations during the fishing season of 1928- 1929.

That in anticipation of sanction of the yard, the memorialists have collected and kept ready all necessary materials required for the constructions of the yard to avoid any delay in the construction of the yard after the sanction is obtained.

Now that the Fishing season has already commenced and the sanction for the opening of the yard has not yet been received, the memorialists feel very much anxious and humbly pray that the sanction for opening of the yard may be kindly accorded at a very early date.

Communication may kindly be addressed to,

Baikampady Poova Gurkara,
Panambur. P.O.
South Kanara. District.

Poova Gurkara

Monsa Angara

sd. (1) Poova Gurkara
(2) Angara Marakala
(3) Mansa Angara
(4) Rama/-e Karkera

B. Shrinivasa 5 27 10

6 [illegible] 6 sd Aithalla nephew of Kuraya

7 B. Laxmana 7

8 [illegible] 8. sd Kunhia.

9 [illegible] 9. Jarappa

10 Chandu Kuiahma 10

11 [illegible] 11 Panjalla

12 III [illegible] 12 Baira

13 III [illegible] 13 Manjana

14 III [illegible] 14 Puddu

15 III [illegible] 15 Sankalla

16 III [illegible] 16 Yeerappa

17 III [illegible] 17 Angara

18. [illegible] 18. B.A Anmana.

7 DEC 1928

39765 E.T.

7.12.28

Fisheries Department.

Endt. No.1245-F/27, dated 27-11-28
3-12-28

Forwarded.

2. As the fishermen of the village seem to be very enthusiastic about the opening of the yard, I request that Government will be pleased to pass early orders on the proposals submitted with my letter No.1245-F/27 dated 24-9-1928. If they are disappointed their enthusiasm will be damped, and an opportunity missed of controling and improving their curing methods.

F. H. Gravely.

Director of Fisheries.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Development Department.

Dev. Dept.

To A.S.

The main file has been sent to Finance. It has been suggested in the file that the yard may be opened in the current year. The return of the file from Finance may be awaited.

Finance may be requested for early return of the file.

Dev. No. 3269 II/28-4
7.12.28

DW 6-12-28

P[illegible] TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

Charges to pay.

Rs.	As.

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.
Mangalore				

TO

Recd. here at 12 H. M.

The Hon'ble Secretary
Development
Madras

Very Early Orders Awaited
Dated 20th November

Fish curing yard, Uppala - closure - op
new yard, Bikampady.

C.No.3269 II/28 - From the D.F. Ref.No.1245F,
dated 24-9-1928.

To A.S.

Please see the D.F.'s proposals in th
He recommends that the Uppala fish curing y
be closed and a new yard opened at Baikam

The fish curing yard at Uppala was op
sanctioned in G.O.No.107 Rev.(Special) date
It was opened only in the year 1923-24 - vi
p.21 of the Administration report for 1923-
It was reported in the administration report of the depart
1924-25 that the operations in the yard wer
declining either because of opening of new
the neighbourhood or because of si
in the shadow of big capitalists' yards. A
the report of 1925-26 it was reported that
only consumed 56 mds. of salt for the year
revenue derived was Rs.70 against an expend
of Rs.626 and it was hardly worthwhile reta
yard. In the report of 1926-27 it was stat
the consumption of salt rose to 123 mds in the
this was attributed to the fishermen ticket
taking Muhammadans as partners. The D.F. r
that the quantity of salt consumed last ye
115 mds and that out of total number of ten
holders four only have been resorting to th
that all the sheds except one are in a dilapi
condition and that out of a sum of Rs.1390
Govt. on the yard, only Rs.106-4-10 was rec
during the last three years. In the circums
the D.F.'s proposal to close the yard may b
submitted, be accepted and the site and buildi
may be

coposes the opening of a fresh yard at Baikampady
ng village, where there are 106 fishermen houses,
ge number of different kinds of nets and 20 curers
g fish with bazaar salt. It is reported that 50 of
ishermen have promised to undertake the construc-
at their cost of all buildings including office
, store shed and fence etc. before the commencement
ie fishing season this year. The Director reports
there is a suitable site, the owners of which are
red to lease it to Government, and that the site
a distance of 100 yards from the sea. ~~xPerhaps~~
~~xxfishexxexxxx

for use as a fish curing yard. In view of the fact that the buildings will be constructed by the owners and the land can be returned to the owners if the yard does not prove a success, it is submitted that the DF's proposal may be agreed to. A staff of two peons is only required for the yard. In the circumstances the opening of a yard at Baikampady may be sanctioned by Government for a period of one year. As the expenditure involved is trivial - two peons @ Rs 12 per- (and as the DF proposes to open it this year for the reasons given in para 3 of his letter d 15.2.28) the proposal need not be submitted to be placed before the Finance Committee. ~~Hon'ble DF~~ It is proposed to open the yard almost at once and the staff may perhaps be sanctioned from 1-11-28.

~~Staff for the yard should be entertained~~

A draft order sanctioning the yard is sub for approval. It may be sent to Finance

15 4

Fin (ET)

The proposals are

(i) Closure of the fish curing yard at Uppala, S. Kanara Dt;

and (ii) Opening of a fish curing yard at Bikampady, South Kanara district.

2. Item (i) Govt. have issued orders directing the closure of the fish curing yard at Uppala - para 1 of Memo. No. 3269 II a 5-10-28 on page 4 c.f. They have now to issue orders abolishing the posts of 2 peons attached to the yard and to ask the Director of Fisheries to report on the sale of the site and buildings and the amount to be written off.

3. Item (ii). The proposal to open a new fish curing yard at Bikampady has arisen as a resul of a petition received in December 1927 from the fishermen of that locality. It is stated that they have agreed to hand over to Govt. the necessary site for thexr use of a yard, free of rent, and also to undertake the construction of a building, including office rooms, store shed, fence etc., and that the expenditure to be borne by Govt. will only be, on account of the pay of 2 peons employed at the place.

Govt. remark that, as the cost involved is trivial, the proposal need not be placed before the Finance Committee.

4. It may, however, be pointed out that a similar proposal to open a fish curing yeard temporarily for a year in Srirangapuram in Vizagapatam

Dt. was treated as a Part II scheme for 1927-28 and placed before the Finance Committee. In the present case, no reference has been made to the running charges ⊕ and other contingent expenditure to be incurred in connection with the new fish curing ~~yard~~ yard. If these charges are also included, the cost will not be trivial. Further, as the ~~idea~~ idea is to continue the fish curing yard permanently, the fact that it is now proposed to open it for a year only, will not obviate a reference to the Finance Committee, which will otherwise be necessary.

5. Devt. propose to open the new yard in the current year, lest the fishermen should go back on their undertaking if the scheme is postponed. It is submitted that if there is a real ~~and pressing~~ need for a fish curing yard at the place, this is not likely to happen. ~~As there could be no hurry~~ ~~in the matter, no special urgency need be considered~~ does not seem As the scheme to be so urgent as to need introduction in the current year, it may be suggested to Devt. to treat the proposal as a Part II scheme for 1929-30.

If, however, they press for its introduction in the Current year (1928-29) the proposal will have to be placed before the Finance Committee and the orders of the Cabinet taken.

CAM
7.11.28

EWS
8.11.28

DCW.

⊕ These charges are shown in the Case of the fish curing yard at Veraj - Pge 189 of 431 Dev. 29.3.27 (U).

of four: 3 Pge 7 C.F. The fishermen can pleased themselves. None else is concerned. EWS

With proper estimate of cost. EWS

It is for Dev. to justify this. I see no justification. EWS

ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
25 OCT 1928
DEVELOPMENT DEPT.

6 17

Fisheries Department.

Post copy of telephone message.

From the Director of Fisheries.
To the Assistant Secretary, Development Department.
Dated 24th October 1928.

Ref: Telephone message from the Assistant Secretary, Development, Department, dated 19-10-28 - Uppala yard - closure.

In continuation of my telephone message dated 19-10-28 on the above subject, I have to inform you that orders have been issued for the closure of the Uppala yard with effect from 1-11-28. The staff of the yard will be disbanded from that date. The land will be surrendered to the Revenue Department assoon as the buildings have been dismantled and their materials removed. Please be good enough to obtain the early sanction of Government for the opening of the yard at Baikampady.

D W Devanesen
for Director of Fisheries.

To

The Assistant Secretary,
Development Department.

Dev. Dept

Asst Secretary

The main file was sent to Finance on 22-10-28. Its return may be awaited.

RL
27.X.

D.W. Dept.

Telephone message

To

The D.F.

Ref. Correspondence ending your letter, Reg. No 1245-F/27 dd 15-11-28 - Opening of a fish curing yard at Bai Kampady

It is proposed to treat the proposal as a Part II scheme for 1929-30.

2 Will you kindly furnish an estimate of the cost of the scheme including the establishment and running charges of the yard and state what provision should be included in the B.E for 1929-30. It is requested that a reply may be sent not later than ~~the~~ 17-11-28 ~~otherwise~~

Please remind again 23/11/28 Reminder 27.11.28

case received. 19.11.28 Reminder 19.11.28

By urgency 10/11

p.c. to go

10.11.28

10/11.

10/11

To Asst Secretary

Please see the telephone message from the D. F. at pp 9-10 ante. The D. F. presses for the opening of the Yard in the Current year lest the fishermen go back on their undertaking to Construct the necessary buildings. The Finance dept have held that this is no justification for introducing the Scheme in the Current year. The ~~[illegible]~~ 30.

2 The Asst. Director (Coast) says that if Sanction for opening the yard is delayed till 1929-30 the fishermen may not put up the necessary buildings which they have agreed to Construct if the yard is opened in the Current year. The Asst. Director is not also sure whether the fishermen will Consent to meet the Cost of the buildings, if Constructed at Govt. Cost, in the Shape of a Cess. The Cost of the buildings Comes to Rs 4,200. It does not seem advisable to Construct the buildings at Govt. Cost without a definite assurance from the fishermen that they will bear the Cost by means of a Cess. The Director may now be asked to ascertain and report urgently whether, if a yard is Sanctioned next year, the fishermen would be willing to Construct the buildings themselves or, in the alternative, to meet by means of a Cess the Cost of the buildings, if Constructed by Govt. The Director

Y.

they also be asked to furnish an estimate of annual
the receipts by cess in case the buildings
are ~~not~~ constructed by Govt. Further, he may
also be requested to justify the necessity for
a petty yard officer for the yard, in addition
to the two peons; the Director originally asked for
only for two peons.

~~A draft order is submitted for approval.~~

Simla
29.11.28

The yard with cons. govt. on monthly
Rs 28 8 for the Staff and Rs 150 under contingencies
or a total of ~~Rs~~ under Rs 450. This seems to be
a very small expenditure.

We may sanction it purely as a
temporary measure for one year, further
continuance depending upon the results of
operations during this period.

Finance may be requested to say
whether even this proposal should be treated as
a case of Scheme. No new policy is involved and no
additional expenditure is proposed. The place of the yard was fixed

The fishermen are very anxious to have
the yard and are prepared to provide the requisite
building at their cost. Immediate sanction is
accorded to the opening of the yard.

The petty yard officer may be employed
for the period of one year - the Experimental period.
D.F. does not ask for one in the first instance.

M

SECRET
ET 29

Demi 3269 11/28-3
29.11.28

S. 27 X 1.

13 1/4

Fri (S.T.)

(Previous Fri: notes on pages 4-5 ante)

Dev have since ascertained that the eventual recurring charges on account of the opening of a new fish-curing yard at Bikampady will be as follows:-

	Cost Rs.
1 Peon yard Officer (20½-25)	= 23
2 peons (12-18)	= 30
	53 per mensem
	636 per annum
Contingencies	150 per annum
	786 per annum

If the buildings also are to be constructed by Govt, there will be a non-recurring expenditure of Rs 4,200 in addition.

---

2. It is stated that if the construction of the buildings is postponed to next year (1929-30), the fishermen might neither put up the buildings promised by them, nor pay a contribution in the shape of a cess towards the cost of the buildings if they are put up by Govt.

It is apparently in view of this that Development again press for the opening of the fish-curing yard in the current year (1928-29) as a temporary measure for a period of one year.

As already noted on page 5 ante,

it is Subt that if there is a real need for a fish-curing yard at the place, the result referred to at X on page 13 ante is not likely to occur. The only inference that can be drawn is that a real need does not exist, and it seems undesirable to proceed with the proposal to open the yard either as a permanent or a temporary measure.

3. With refce to portion M on page 12 ante, it is Subt that all schemes of new expenditure have to be placed before the Fin: Comttee as Part II Schemes irrespective of the fact that the expre proposed (in any case) is in accordance with accepted policy.

Further, in deciding whether a scheme of new expenditure should be placed before the Finee Committee (or not) the test applied is the ultimate cost involved in it and not merely the immediate expenditure.

4. In view of the attitude of the fishermen referred to at X on page 13 ante, Subt that this dept. should not agree to the proposals in this case being further to please the fishermen proceeded with.

I think so. The yard has no purpose except

DRD.

CMM
7-12-20

DRD.

46/27

p11 CF

Telegram from the fishermen of Baikampady village Mangalore S. Kanara district dj 17-12-27.

To Asst Secretary

pp 1-3 CF

In his letter dj 24-9-28 the D. F. recommended that the fish curing yard at Uppala should be closed owing to steady decline in operations and that a new yard should be opened in Baikampady fishing village in the current year as the inhabitants of the village were very anxious to have the yard opened at an early date. The Director also stated that

para 2 at p 2 CF

the fishermen of Baikampady were willing to construct the necessary buildings as soon as the opening of the yard was sanctioned by Govt. and that if sanction for the opening of the

para 3 at p 7 CF

yard was delayed till next year the fishermen might go back on their undertaking to construct the buildings at their cost. This dept supported the Director's proposal to open the yard in the current year. The Finance dept, however, considered that the argument that the fishermen might go back on their undertaking if sanction

para 5 at p 5 ante

was delayed till next year was not sufficient justification for introducing the scheme in the current year and suggested that it should be treated as a Part II scheme for 1929-30 & placed before the Finance Committee with proper estimates of cost. The D.F. was next

asked to furnish an estimate of the Cost of the Tm at p
Scheme including the establishment and running
Charges. On furnishing the figures of Cost, the D.F.
again emphasized the urgency of the scheme
and urged for its introduction in the Current year. p 7 —
This dept. suggested to Finance that, as the Scheme
would cost only Rs 450 next year, ~~that~~ not may p 12 cut
it might
be sanctioned purely on a temporary footing
for one year, further Continuance depending upon
the extent of operations during this year. The
Finance dept. held that as the ultimate Cost of
the Scheme was Rs 786 it should be treated as p 14 cut
a Part II scheme and Considered that ~~this~~ the
Scheme should not be further proceeded with
on the ground that there was no ~~necessity~~ ready
need for a yard ~~Considering~~ ~~the~~ at the place ~~the~~
As the fishermen were not willing to meet the
Cost of the buildings if a yard was opened next
year. The D.F. was thereupon told
~~Govt to Sanction~~ that the Scheme
Can be Considered only p 15 cut
~~may be contracted~~ as a Part II Scheme for 1929-30
and he
~~the Director~~ was asked on 8-12-28 to
ascertain and Report before 12-12-28 whether, if the yard was opened next year,
the fishermen ~~if the yard was opened next year~~ would be willing to provide the
buildings at their Cost or agree to pay it by
Cess if Constructed by Govt. The Director was
also told that if his reply was not received
on 12-12-28 it might be not be possible to
Consider the Scheme even as a Part II Scheme

29/12

for 1929-30. The Director's reply has not yet been received. The last date for sending copies of notes on Part II schemes to the Finance dept was 15-12-28 and it is now too late to consider the present scheme as a Part II scheme for 1929-30. Unless it is decided that the scheme is an urgent one and should be introduced in the current year, it will have to be postponed for consideration next year as a Part II scheme for 1930-31. The fishermen of Baikampady have now sent a telegram requesting the opening of the yard at an early date; but nothing, it appears, can be done now.

p11 C-F

Asst
15-12-28.

18/XII
Minister
Fisheries.

9.12.

Mr Thearby
Course now open is to ask the Divers whether they will open and run the yard at their own cost until April 1930.
This means that they have to bear the Cost of the Staff in addition to what they have already agreed, namely, the cost of putting up Sheds etc

20.12

33.

T.M

To

The D.F.

Refs: Letter No 1145-F/29-1 d. 15.10.28. Opening fish curing yd. Baikampady.

As orders to close the yard at Koppala have issued in O.M. No 3269 II/28-1, d 5.10.28, the staff in that yard should at once be disbanded. Assuming that Govt. sanction the opening of a yard at Baikampady, will you please say when you expect the buildings to be constructed and regular operations to begin.

15.10.28

19.X.

19/10

34

C.F. 304—2,750 Bks.—10-9-28

OFFICE OF THE _____

Dated _____ 192

____ Hours ____ Minutes.

TELEPHONIC MESSAGE.

From Director of Fisheries

To Asst. Secy.

Ref. your T. m. & date.

The first para of the T. m. has been communicated to the Asst. Director of Fisheries (Coast) for immediate action. As regards the last para of the T. m. the buildings which are thatched sheds will be put up by the owners immediately on receipt of Govt. sanction and quotations to carry out the work.

Yr. obt. servt.